UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,993	11/04/2003	Charles R. Saikley	ADCI-011	6633
	590 12/18/2006 IELD & FRANCIS LLP		EXAM	INER
1900 UNIVERS			EXAMINER HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3736	
SUITE 200 EAST PALO AI	LTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ,
	.,		3736	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 DA	YS	12/18/2006	I	DEB.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			SP		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_0_		
	10/701,993	SAIKLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
	Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	}		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC	CATION.	YS,		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute. cause the application to become AP	eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communi IANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	ication.		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	October 2006				
	nis action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the meri	its is		
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-51</u> is/are pending in the applicati	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 21-51 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21/d)		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2. (d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 		received in this National Stage	!		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received			
	t of the defined copies flot i	eceiveu.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/701,993 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 21-35, drawn to a bodily fluid sampling apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 183.
- II. Claims 36-51, drawn to a process of obtaining and testing a bodily fluid, classified in class 600, subclass 584.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as broadly as *structurally* claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process including (a) puncturing plastics and/or textiles and/or (b) tenderizing meat and/or (c) and/or etching metal.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/701,993 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sets of species:

o Set I:

- Species A: embodiment drawn to Figure 7A,
- Species B: embodiment drawn to Figure 7B,
- Species C: embodiment drawn to Figure 7C, and
- Species D: embodiment drawn to Figure 7D.
- 6. The species are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and structurally divergent means for configuring a lancet and cap combination.
 - o Set II:
 - Species E: embodiment drawn to Figures 9A and 9C and
 - Species F: embodiment drawn to Figures 9B and 9D.
- 7. The species are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and structurally divergent means for configuring a test strip.
 - o Set III:
 - Species G: embodiment drawn to Figure 16A,
 - Species H: embodiment drawn to Figure 16B,
 - Species I: embodiment drawn to Figure 17A,
 - Species J: embodiment drawn to Figure 17B, and
 - Species K: embodiment drawn to Figure 18.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,993

Art Unit: 3736

8. The species are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and structurally divergent means for configuring a test strip moving mechanism.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each set for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. A telephone call was made to Andrew Smith on 12/8/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571) 272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JH H